

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/765,348 01/27/2004		Istvan Cseri	MS141529.03/MSFTP1470USB 1077			
27195	7590	10/04/2006	·	EXAMINER		
		CALVIN, LLP	CD	wu	WU, YICUN	
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	2165		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/765,348	CSERI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yicun Wu	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	nuary 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/8/04 7/25/06</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/765,348 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

III. DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-41 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter,

specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete (See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005, which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)... a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result (State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

Examiner requests Applicant to include in Applicant's claimed limitations (in all the claims) the following:

Claim limitation describing --

- 1. What is the practical application?
- 2. What is final result which Applicant considers concrete, useful and tangible?

Application/Control Number: 10/765,348 Page 3

Art Unit: 2165

Because the "practical application, result, concrete, useful and tangible" limitations are not claimed in Applicant's claims, Examiner asserts that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

Claims 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, directed towards "computer readable medium".

Page 4, paragraph [0023] is evidence that Applicant intends for "computer readable medium" to include embodiments where the medium is not limited to the media that the Office believes are capable of realizing the underlying functionality of the instructions.

Specifically, while the volatile and not-volatile embodiments would be statutory, the "electrical signal" as described in the specification is not believed to be covered by any of the statutory categories of invention nor would it enable any underlying functionality to be realized. Note that deleting subject matter from the specification may raise the issue of new matter. However, rephrasing is permissible in accordance with MPEP 2163.07.

Art Unit: 2165

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over <u>Cheng et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,366,934).

As to claims 1, 9, 11, 13, 26 and 32, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system comprising:

a database server (col. 3, lines 34-35) operable for receiving a query (i.e. querying) (col. 3, lines 48-60) and col. 23, lines 1-35), the query including mode information (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40) that specifies (col. 21, lines 7-9), within the query itself (col. 21, lines 7-9), a hierarchical data stream organization (i.e. structure search . col. 24, lines 35-40 and Col. 15, lines 46-48), and generating a rowset in response to the query (i.e. retrieving structured documents) (col. 3, lines 48-60); and

a rowset processor (col. 3, lines 48-60), operable for receiving and processing the mode information (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40) of the query and the rowset, to generate a data stream organized (i.e. retrieving structured documents) (col. 3, lines 48-60) according to

Art Unit: 2165

the hierarchical data stream organization (i.e. document tree structure. Col. 15, lines 46-48) specified by the mode information (col. 21, lines 7-9).

As to claim 2, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system, wherein the query is a structured query language (SQL) query (<u>Cheng et al.</u> col. 6, lines 48-57).

As to claims 3 and 21, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system, wherein the query is a flat query (<u>Cheng et al.</u> col. 6, lines 48-57).

As to claim 4, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system, wherein the hierarchical data stream is an eXtensible Markup Language (XML) data stream (i.e. XML contents and attribute values) (<u>Cheng et al.</u> col. 21, lines 24-27).

As to claim 5, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system wherein the mode information comprises one or more table names (i.e. table. col. 23, lines 55-60).

As to claim 6, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system, wherein the mode information comprises an ordered list of one or more tables (col. 23, lines 55-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40).

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 7, Cheng et al. discloses a computerized system, wherein the mode information comprises

explicitly defining a nesting of requested data and a naming of requested columns in a hierarchical data stream (col. 23, lines 65-67 and col. 24, lines 7-40).

As to claim 8, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system, wherein the rowset processor transforms the rowset into a universal table (i.e. a buffer) (<u>Cheng et al.</u> col. 20, lines 54-55) subsequently processed to generate a hierarchical data stream (col. 24, lines 7-40).

As to claims 10, 12 and 25, <u>Cheng et al</u>. discloses a computerized system, comprising: a client coupled to the rowset processor, the client is capable of receiving the hierarchical data stream (fig. 1).

As to claim 16, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system, wherein the query includes a clause directing the rowset processor to return the XML data stream (col. 23, lines 65-67 and col. 24, lines 7-40).

As to claim 18, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system wherein the mode information defining the XML data stream organization is primary-foreign key information included in the query (col. 13, lines 1525).

As to claim 19, Cheng et al. discloses a computerized system wherein

Art Unit: 2165

mode information defining the XML data stream organization is implied in the ordering of a number of tables included in the query (i.e. formulate relational operations on the tables)

(Cheng et al. col. 6, lines 6165) and (Cheng et al. col. 4, lines 1-5).

As to claim 20, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system wherein the information defining the XML data stream is explicitly provided in the query (col. 6, lines 61-65) and (Cheng et al. col. 21, lines 2-19).

As to claim 22 and 33, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system further comprising: wherein the query is a nested query (i.e. structural search) (col. 4, lines 1-5).

As to claim 23, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system wherein the rowset processor is a subsystem of the database system (col. 3, lines 34-47).

As to claim 24, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system wherein the rowset processor is an add-on to the database system. (i.e. extender. col. 3, lines 34-47).

As to claim 27, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a computerized system wherein transforming the rowset into an XML element comprises:

mapping each non-null column value of the rowset to an attribute of the XML element (Cheng et al. col. 21 lines 49 to col. 22, lines 7).

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 28, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a method of generating an XML data stream from a query, the method comprising:

creating a query (i.e. querying) (col. 3, lines 48-60) and col. 23, lines 1-35), the query including mode information that specifies (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40), within the query itself (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40), an eXtensible Markup Language (XML) data stream organization (i.e. structure search . col. 24, lines 35-40 and Col. 15, lines 46-48) by a primary foreign key relationship (Cheng et al. col. 13, lines 15-25);

transmitting the query to a database server to generate a rowset (col. 3, lines 48-60); transforming the rowset into a nested XML tree by using the primary-foreign key relationship to determine (Cheng et al. col. 13, lines 15-25)nesting in the nested XML tree (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40); and

processing the nested XML tree to return the XML data stream, organized according to the determined nesting in response to the query (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40).

As to claim 29, <u>Cheng et al</u>. discloses a method of generating a method, wherein creating a query containing a primary foreign key relationship comprises:

ordering tables in the query to produce an organization of the XML data stream for a one to many relationship (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40).

As to claim 30, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a method wherein transforming the rowset into a nested XML tree by using the primary-foreign key relationship included in the query to determine nesting in the nested XML tree comprises:

Art Unit: 2165

forming a nesting schema from the nested XML tree (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40); and

utilizing the nesting schema to transform the rowset into a nested XML tree (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40).

As to claim 31, <u>Cheng et al.</u> discloses a method wherein processing the nested XML tree to return the XML data stream in response to the query comprises:

representing each table listed in the query that has at least one column in a query result as an XML element.

As to claims 34 and 37, <u>Cheng et al</u>. discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing operations comprising:

forming a query (i.e. querying) (col. 3, lines 48-60) and (col. 23, lines 1-35), in which the XML data stream has an organizational structure defined in the query (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40);

transmitting the query to a database server (fig. 1) to generate a rowset (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40); and

processing the rowset to produce the XML data stream in response to the query (col. 23, lines 56-60 and col. 24, lines 7-40) .

As to claim 35, <u>Cheng et al</u>. discloses a computer readable medium, wherein processing the rowset to return the XML data stream in response to the query comprises:

transforming the rowset into a universal table (col. 20, lines 54-55);; and

Art Unit: 2165

processing the universal table to produce the XML data stream (col. 20, lines 54-55);.

As to claim 36, <u>Cheng et al</u>. discloses a computer readable medium, wherein transforming the rowset into a universal table comprises:

executing a union over all selections in the query (i.e. union(R1 ,r2)(<u>Cheng et al.</u> col. 17, lines 41-61)..

As to claim 39, <u>Cheng et al</u>. discloses a method wherein the organizational structure is one or more of the group comprising

one or more table names (i.e. table. col. 23, lines 55-67), an ordered list of one or more tables (col. 23, lines 55-67), and

an explicit definition of a nesting of requested data and a naming of requested columns in the hierarchical data stream (col. 24, lines 7-40).

As to claim 41, <u>Cheng et al</u>. discloses a method 1, wherein the query further comprises one or more of element tags and parent tags (col. 24, lines 7-40).

6. As to claims 14-15, 17, 38 and 40, the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection above. They are therefore rejected as set forth above.

Art Unit: 2165

Conclusion

7. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the parent application prior to the filing of this Continued Prosecution Application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action.

Accordingly, *THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL* even though it is a first action after the filing under 37 CFR 1.53(d). *See MPEP 706.07(b)*.

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory- period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply-expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2165

Points of contact

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yicun Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-4087. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 4:30 pm, Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Yicun Wu Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

September 26, 2006

FRANTZCOBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER